JASMAC



P20

Soret-Facet ISS 実験で得られた干渉縞の解析手法改善と ソーレ係数の温度依存性

Improvement of Analysis Methods for Interference Fringes obtained by Soret-Facet Mission on the ISS and the temperature dependence of Soret coefficients

堀越晴貴1,片岡美波1,稲富裕光2.3,鈴木進補1

Haruki HORIKOSHI¹, Minami KATAOKA¹, Yuko INATOMI^{2,3} and Shinsuke SUZUKI¹

¹早稲田大学, Waseda University.

²宇宙航空研究開発機構, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency.

3総合研究大学院大学, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies.

1. Introduction

The Soret effect is one of transport phenomena and the mass and thermal transports are described by the Soret coefficient $S_T^{1)}$. This value is calculated as the ratio of temperature gradient to concentration gradient in a stable state. In 2014, S_T was measured with an interferometer on the International Space Station (ISS) in Soret-Facet Misson. Our group proposed two methods of obtaining and analyzing interference fringes, that is fixed-view analysis²⁾ and moved-view analysis³⁾. The moved-view analysis was devised in order to eliminate two disadvantages of the fixed-view analysis. One is that the concentration gradient is often determined as the opposite direction due to a narrow field of view. The other is that it is computationally expensive and susceptible to noise because it requires a long observation period. However, S_T obtained by the moved-view analysis had not been fully examined. The objective is to confirm the improvement of S_T measurements by the moved-view analysis compared with the results by the fixed-view analysis, and then discuss the temperature dependence of the S_T values.

2. Experiment and Analysis Procedures

The Soret coefficient S_T of salol /*tert*-butyl alcohol was measured with a two-wavelength interferometer (wavelength $\lambda = 532,780$ nm) on the ISS ⁴). The initial concentration of the sample C_0 was 2.58 mol% butyl alcohol. The top and bottom temperatures of the sample cell was controlled with Peltier devices and the thickness of the cell *d* was 1 mm. Two types of temperature conditions were set: i) the temperature of the center ΔT_c was fixed at 318 K and the applied temperature difference ΔT_{app} was varied from 6 to 30 K, and ii) ΔT_{app} was fixed at 10 K and ΔT_c was varied from 313 to 333 K. **Figure 1** shows the size of the field of view and moving distance. The *X* and *Y* coordinates were set on the field of view and *X*-axis was vertical to the direction of ΔT_{app} . The field of view was fixed during the measurement, but it was vertically moved 7.1 mm just before the end of the measurement.

The analysis methods of recorded interference fringes were as follows. First, the intensity *I* of the interference fringes of each point was converted into phase change from the initial phase $\Delta \phi^{5}$. In the fixed-view analysis, the phase change $\Delta \phi_F$ was considered as the sum of $\Delta \phi_F^T$ and $\Delta \phi_F^C$ caused by time-dependent temperature and concentration change, respectively. The temperature change ΔT_F and the concentration change ΔC_F were calculated by Eq. (1) and (2), respectively².

$$\Delta T_F = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi d} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial T} \right)_{C,\lambda}^{-1} \Delta \phi_F^T \right\}$$
(1)

$$\Delta C_F = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi d} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial C} \right)_{T,\lambda}^{-1} \Delta \phi_F^C \right\}$$
(2)

Here, n is refractive index.

In the moved view analysis, temperature change ΔT_F was used, which was calculated in the fixed-view analysis in the same experimental run. The condition of the sample just before view moving (**Fig. 1 (a) A**) was set as the standard. The phase change $\Delta \phi_M$ was obtained by determining the deference between the phase after view moving and the phase of the standard. The phase change $\Delta \phi_M$ was considered as the sum of $\Delta \phi_M^T$ and $\Delta \phi_M^C$. The phase change caused by temperature difference from the standard $\Delta \phi_M^T$ was obtained from Eq. (1) with ΔT_F . The concentration change from the standard ΔC_M was calculated by Eq. (2) substituting $\Delta \phi_F^C$ by $\Delta \phi_M^C$ and ΔC_F by ΔC_M , respectively.³ After that, temperature gradient ∇T and concentration gradient ∇C were obtained by linear approximations of ΔT and ΔC at each Y, respectively. Finally, S_T was calculated at each Y and the measurement value was determined as the mean of them.



$$S_T = -\frac{1}{C_0(1-C_0)} \frac{\nabla C}{\nabla T} \tag{3}$$

Fig. 1 The model of the field of view in the moved view analysis and an example of experimental analysis corresponding to it. As the example, the results under the condition of $T_c = 318$ K and $\Delta T_{app} = 10$ K at (X, Y) = (221 Pixel, 175 Pixel) are shown. (a)The size of the field of view and moving distance, (b) $\Delta \phi$ vs *x* by the moved-view analysis, and (c) ΔC vs *x*. In the fixed-view analysis, *X* was varied in the range 1-480 Pixel, *Y* was 175 Pixel.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows S_T obtained by each analysis method. Although the S_T in the same experimental run by the fixed-view analysis varied between positive and negative, all S_T values were uniformly obtained as negative values by moved-view analysis. The standard deviations of S_T for some experimental runs by fixed-view analysis were extremely large, but otherwise the standard deviations were generally smaller than by moved-view analysis. The similar trend was observed in the measurements with varied ΔT_{app} .



Fig. 2. S_T vs T_C by each method. ΔT_{app} was 10 K, three runs were plotted at 318 K. The error bars are the standard deviations. The dashed line in (c) is the results of a linear fit to the data points by moved-view analysis for a visual aid.

4. Discussion

When the linear approximation was applied for the distribution of ΔC , ∇C was varied by periodic noise against the distribution of ΔC in the fixed-view analysis. On the other hand, the moved-view analysis had wider observation range and dealt with the larger ΔC than the fixed-view analysis. Therefore, in the moved-view analysis, the effect of noise was smaller and ∇C was determined to have the same sign. Also, the temperature dependence of S_T by the fixed-view analysis waved, but the result of the moved-view analysis suggested S_T decreased monotonically with T_c .

5. Conclusion

The moved-view analysis enabled us to obtain S_T uniformly with negative sign, while the fixed-view analysis showed positive values in same experimental runs. This is because ∇C by the moved-view analysis was hardly affected by periodic noise against the distribution of ΔC due to the wider observation field and the larger ΔC . In addition, it was suggested that S_T decreased monotonically with T_c by the result of the moved-view analysis.

References

- M. A. Rahman and M. Z. Saghir: Thermodiffusion or Soret effect: Historical review, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf., 73 (2014) 693, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2014.02.257.
- M. Tomaru, T. Osada, I. Orikasa, S. Suzuki and Y. Inatomi: Analysis Method Using Two-Wavelength Mach-Zehnder Interferometer for the Measurement of Soret Coefficients in Soret-Facet Mission on ISS, Microgravity Sci. Technol., 31 (2019) 49, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12217-018-9664-z.
- 3) T. Odajima, I. Orikasa, K. Tominaga, Y. Inatomi and S. Suzuki: JASMAC-34 Abstract OR1-2, (2022).
- T. Osada, Y. Hashimoto, M. Tomaru, S. Suzuki, Y. Inatomi, Y. Ito and T. Shimaoka: Improvement of Interference Fringe Analysis for Soret Coefficient Measurement in Soret-Facet Mission, Int. J. Microgravity Sci. Appl., 33 (4) (2016) 330407, DOI: https://doi.org/10.15011//jasma.33.330407.
- I. Orikasa, T. Osada, M. Tomaru, S. Suzuki and Y. Inatomi: Improvement in Phase Analysis using Spatio-Temporal Images for Soret Coefficient Measurements, Int. J. Microgravity Sci. Appl., 36 (3) (2019) 360306B, DOI: https://doi.org/10.15011//jasma.36.360306.



© 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/li censes/by/4.0/).